



**AN INDEPENDENT EVALUATION
OF THE IMPACT OF
THE SOFTWOOD LUMBER BOARD**

2020 Calendar Year

By Douglas C. Adams, MBA
Prime Consulting



AN INDEPENDENT EVALUATION OF THE IMPACT OF THE SOFTWOOD LUMBER BOARD 2020 Calendar Year

Douglas C. Adams, MBA
Prime Consulting

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND
- OBJECTIVE/SCOPE
- DATA LIMITATIONS
- METHODOLOGY TO ASSESS BENEFIT/COSTS
- RESULTS
- CONCLUSION & NON-TECHNICAL PRESENTATION OF RESULTS
- APPENDIX

INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND

The Softwood Lumber Board is an industry-funded initiative established to promote the benefits and uses of Softwood Lumber products in outdoor, residential and non-residential construction, and to increase demand for appearance and softwood lumber products.


The Softwood Lumber Board (SLB) was established with the promulgation of the **Softwood Lumber Research, Promotion, Consumer Education and Industry Information Order** dated August 2, 2011, by the Secretary of Agriculture of the United States Department of Agriculture pursuant to the statutory authority provided in the **Commodity Promotion, Research, and Information Act of 1996**.

The SLB engages Prime Consulting (Prime) to provide a comprehensive measurement and evaluation program covering the SLB program activities.

- I. The initial full review measured 2012-2015 and compared to wood usage in 2011, the year before the SLB program commenced.
- II. The second independent review covered 2016 – Q3, 2020. That review established the methodology for future independent reviews, which SLB wishes to have completed each year.
- III. The 2020 calendar year independent evaluation is contained in this report.

The SLB uses a portfolio approach based upon the traditional sales and marketing funnel. The “funnel” is a visual metaphor for a business process that provides structure for increasingly focused stages (also thought to be increasing odds of a decision to purchase), that influencers and purchasers travel through before making a purchase or recommendation in the case of influencers.

In most cases, SLB provides funding to partners already in the funnel space. SLB represents a substantial portion of most partners’ funding. This has provided opportunity for leadership in the partners’ strategic direction and extension of the SLB impact through larger efforts, than if limited to only SLB funding. For the SLB target market, commercial non-residential and multi-family residences, the “purchase” is not the actual act of purchasing wood; rather it is the decision to specify the use of lumber for the building system, and numerous detailed aspects of a given building project by the project architect and/or structural engineer, along with the developer.



Therefore, the objective of the funnel structure is to provide multiple points of potential contact for architects, structural engineers and developers; the key influencers and specifiers of softwood lumber. These contact points, or levels in the funnel, vary in their objective, information content, the cost to provide, the desired outcome, and their importance in the “sales cycle”.

OBJECTIVE/SCOPE

This report provides an independent evaluation of the effectiveness and impact of the Softwood Lumber Board programs during the 2020 calendar year. The impact is defined as the:

- Amount of lumber used from (Millions of Board Feet or MM BF) WoodWorks converted projects, plus the anticipated ‘tail’ effect over the coming three years from the educational efforts with that architect, structural engineer developer or contractor (see Appendix II for further information on the “tail effect”).
- Benefit/cost ratio of the converted project’s dollar value (plus tail) for each dollar of spending by the SLB program.

This independent evaluation was carried out by Prime Consulting, under the direction of the firm’s President and owner, Mr. Douglas Adams. Mr. Adams has 40 years of analysis and measurement experience, including 25 years as the principal at Prime offering measurement and analytics consulting services. Doug has provided measurement services for a variety of industry marketing initiatives, including several USDA check-off programs. Mr. Adams has published numerous articles, and contributed to two books on marketing program measurement.

DATA LIMITATIONS

This analysis is based upon project data from WoodWorks (WW) (see Appendix III) , an industry price reporting service, and the Softwood Lumber Board. While these are deemed to be the best available, there are several gaps that limit the extent of use of this analysis.

- The first limitation is that data on SLB’s impact from the building code work of American Wood Council (AWC), or the impact of PR/educational activities of ThinkWood that do not result in a WW converted project are not captured. Both of these have additional value beyond that quantified in WW’s reporting, and therefore, this analysis. These require further research and methodology development. Prime is in the early stages of that development for ThinkWood, and hopefully will begin the same with AWC in the coming year or two. We anticipate this gap to be addressed by expanding the methodology, and enhancing the independent evaluation in future years. The result will be adding to the impact attributed to SLB programs.
- The second limit is the lack of industry-level data from a syndicated provider with sufficient detail to conduct a causal analysis, and isolate the impact of SLB program on a broader macro-economic level. The industry data is at best, annual, with projections by building type, and developed top-down rather than built-up with causal detail allowing attribution modeling.

Despite these two limits, the reporting of impact of the SLB programs, while likely understated by the first limitation, is very accurate for the reported impact from WoodWorks converted projects.

METHODOLOGY TO ASSESS BENEFIT/COSTS

WoodWorks maintains detailed records for each program activity, including constituent involvement in programs, and more specifically, the direct work by WoodWorks staff to “convert” proposed building projects, and increase the amount of softwood lumber used in the building’s construction.

In 2015, Prime helped WoodWorks refine the method of calculating the incremental lumber and projection of the additional lumber expected in future projects from the education and expertise provided on the original project.

During the 2020 calendar year, WoodWorks reported 400 “converted” projects. Each project has an extensive file of information covering the project (see Appendix III for a description of the project file information), including the building structural elements, size (sq. ft.) and the extent of WoodWorks’ involvement to add wood to the building.

For this evaluation, Prime conducted an independent audit of 161 WoodWorks converted projects, providing a 95% confidence interval for the results with a margin of error of +/-5.98% of the reported levels of incremental lumber (MM BF) in the SLB reporting. Using a 90% confidence interval, the margin of error is reduced to +/- 5.03%, as shown in the table below.

Sample Size & Margin of Error
Universe = 400

	CONFIDENCE LEVEL	
	90%	95%
+/- 4.00%	207	241
5.03	161	
5.98		161
7.00	104	132

Source: Maple Tech International LLC. <https://www.calculator.net/sample-size-calculator.html>

The verification audit involved 161 (40%) projects from the universe of 400 reported projects during 2020 was used. 101 of the sample projects came from the random selection for the recently completed 2016- Q3 2020 review. 60 additional 2020 projects were randomly selected to achieve a +/- 5.03% margin of error (with 90% confidence) for the 2020 calendar year. No stratifying criteria was used to select the sample.

The audit plan verified the:

- a. **Project’s actual construction,**
- b. **calculation of the incremental lumber (MM BF)** from WoodWorks (and by association, SLB) involvement, and
- c. **conversion from MM BF to dollars** using the same approach as the SLB annual ROI reporting.

The data used to develop the Softwood Lumber Board impact in MM BF came from multiple sources:

A. Lumber (reported as millions of board feet or MM BF)

- The amount of reported lumber comes from WoodWorks converted project reporting using the new subsequent-tail methodology implemented in 2016 (see Appendix II for more information on the 'tail' or 'indirect impact').
- The project details and structural information needed to calculate wood use were verified in the WoodWorks project files (See Appendix III for a description of the project file information) . The calculations covering lumber use from the converted projects developed in 2015, were audited to evaluate compliance with the agreed-to approach for reporting.

B. Construction Verification

- Prime verified the reporting of projects through the review of each project file for the 161 randomly selected projects. Actual construction of each project was independently confirmed through building websites (public buildings such as schools, government offices, hotels, churches, office buildings, multi-family residences, etc.), Google maps covering different timeframes, phone calls and other search techniques.

The dollar value of the incremental board feet and SLB BCR/ROI calculations added:

C. Pricing (\$ per 1,000 BF or \$/M BF)

- Lumber pricing per thousand board feet (\$/M BF) data as reported by Fastmarkets/RISI, formerly Random Lengths for 2020.
- The monthly average is reported at the end of each month in the online newsletter. The Random-Length dimension price for each month is averaged to develop an annual average price.

D. SLB Spending

- SLB financial reporting from internal financial statements. Spending was rounded to the nearest hundred thousand.

RESULTS

In completing the evaluation:

- A. Prime was able to verify that all 161 of the sampled projects were constructed.
 - 101 of the 161 were confirmed through inspection of Google Earth maps and street-level pictures. Prime viewed current images, and then rewound the clock in that application to see earlier time frames prior the building construction.
 - 57 of the 161 were confirmed through other information in the project file, such as building owner websites, news coverage, etc.
 - Three of the projects required confirmation using the Dodge Analytics Action Stage reporting, as construction began in the second half of 2020.
- B. Projected lumber reported by WoodWorks and SLB, accurately reflects project inputs from the individual project files.
 - Prime was able to trace such metrics as building square feet, wood use in structural elements, the degree of influence the WoodWorks engineer had on the project, etc. to the underlying project files (see Appendix II for further information on “influence”).
- C. The calculation methodology implemented in 2016 was used.
 - Prime was able to verify that the methodology has been accurately applied to both the sample (161 projects) and all 400 projects. The sample projects contained 646.9 MM BF of lumber, or 40% of the reported lumber usage, which totaled 1,624.3 MM BF during this period.
- D. The Fastmarkets/RISI Random-Length dimensions price reporting information was utilized to express the amount of lumber used in value terms – dollars. The pricing data is expressed as the dollars per thousand board feet (\$/M BF). The 2020 average price was \$541/M BF, a large increase over the 2019 level of \$373.
- E. SLB spending during 2020 was \$12.6 MM, according to the 2020 audited financial reports provided by management.

These expenditures were compared to the board feet of lumber, expressed in dollars.

CONCLUSION – EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The analysis of a sample of projects verified that all were constructed, and that the calculation methodology was followed. This audit confirmed the amount of lumber, expressed as millions of lumber in board feet (MM BF) attributable to the SLB program activities was reported accurately.

Based upon our audit verifying 100% of the projects and 100% compliance with the calculations methodology, Prime concludes the reported 1,624.3 MM BF during 2020, to be the amount of lumber resulting from the SLB program activities.

Our findings are +/- 5.03% with a 90% confidence interval. This means that we are 90% confident that a full audit of all projects would yield results within +/- 5.03% of the 1,624.3 MM reported number or +/- 82 MM BF.

Expressing the amount of lumber in dollars indicates \$878.7 MM resulted from the \$12.6 MM in SLB spending.

Benefit Cost Ratio

Lumber Usage			1,624.3 MM BF
Lumber Price	x	\$ 541 per M BF	
<hr/>			
Dollar Value	=	\$ 878.7 MM	
SLB Spending	÷	\$ 12.6 MM	
<hr/>			
Program Benefit per \$1 Spent		\$ 69.74 per \$1	

The Softwood Lumber Board Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) was \$69.74 per \$1 spent during the 2020 calendar year.

Applying the margin of error at a 90% confidence interval, the BCR ranges from \$66.23 on the low side, to \$73.25 on the high side. Given the consistency of the sample and the results listed above, I believe the mid-point, \$69.74, represents the BCR from the SLB program during the 2020 calendar year.

Submitted by Douglas C. Adams, President, Prime Consulting

APPENDIX I

The following resources were used in designing the evaluation.

- Guidelines for AMS Oversight of Commodity Research and Promotion Programs, January 2020, USDA (from USDA website).
- Quarterly reporting by WoodWorks provided directly by WoodWorks.
- Individual project files for sample projects were provided by WoodWorks.
- Audited financial statements and internal financial reports provided by Softwood Lumber Board management.
- Sample Size calculation utilized the Maple Tech International LLC Sample Size Calculator: <https://www.calculator.net/sample-size-calculator.html> Maple Tech International is operated by a group of IT professionals dedicated to providing mostly free online tools. The company is based in The Woodlands, TX.

APPENDIX II – WoodWorks Terms: “Tail” or “Indirect impact” & “Influence Factor”

These two terms refer to portions of the reporting that has been applied for several years. **“Tail effect”** is also known as the “indirect impact”, and refers to the subsequent use of the new learnings provided by WoodWorks (by the individual or their firm) in the three years following the project that was specifically converted. Very often, when WW helps a client learn how to incorporate more wood in a given building system or application, the client goes on to use that learning in subsequent projects without needing any assistance from WW. To quantify what we called the tail or indirect impact, a survey of projects and clients was done in 2015 to develop average values for subsequent use of the learnings. We elected to limit the tail to three years (even though some applications are used much longer) due to the surveying limitations, and recognition that further new developments or market changes will cause the indirect impact to fade over time.

“Influence factor” refers to the extent to which WoodWorks influenced the amount of wood in the final plans. The values range from 0% to 100%, and are derived by evaluating the plans against a set of criteria developed by WW and applied to each project.

Both of these were part of a U.S./Canada joint project over several years that resulted in the current method of calculating the wood value of projects. This was implemented in 2016 in both the US and Canada after a long and collaborative development process that involved representatives from SLB, Forestry Innovation Investment (an investor in WoodWorks U.S.), FP Innovations, WoodWorks U.S., WoodWorks! Canada, and Prime Consulting.

APPENDIX III - WoodWorks Sources of Information

Each project file has information about a proposed building as provided by the WoodWorks 'client' (architect, structural engineer, etc.). This can, but does not always include, draft blueprints, building specification sheets for the primary building systems (floors, walls, roofs, etc.). Meeting/call notes and working papers are also included. These come from addressing the challenge the client is facing, or ideas the engineer offers to increase the wood content in the building systems.

Depending upon the project, the WW engineer might obtain further details through the Dodge Data & Analytics service, if the project has already been put in Dodge for project bidding. The WoodWorks engineer often receives the building information under a non-disclosure agreement, and in some cases, must use a disguised name for the project prior to construction. WoodWorks uses an outside firm to confirm that construction has started before reporting the project to SLB in their quarterly reporting. All project information is secured in the digital project files using www.Salesforce.com.